

ORIGINAL

Roderick A. McLeod (State Bar No. 104694)
rmcleod@jonesday.com
Gidon M. Caine (State Bar No. 188110)
gcaine@jonesday.com
Thomas A. Rector (State Bar No. 199175)
tarecotor@jonesday.com
Jessica L. Repa (State Bar No. 240801)
jlrepa@jonesday.com
JONES DAY
555 California Avenue, Suite 2600
San Francisco, California
Telephone: 415-626-3939
Facsimile: 415-875-5700

Attorneys for Plaintiff
INNOFONE.COM, INCORPORATED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CV-07-1793

INNOFONE.COM, INCORPORATED,
a Nevada Corporation,

Plaintiff,

v.

COGENT CAPITAL FINANCIAL,
LLC, a Delaware Limited Liability
Company, COGENT CAPITAL
INVESTMENTS, LLC, a Delaware
Limited Liability Company, COGENT
CAPITAL GROUP, LLC, a Delaware
Limited Liability Company,
INVESTORS BANK & TRUST
COMPANY, a Massachusetts Trust
Company, GREGORY L. KOFFORD,
an individual, and MARK W.
HOLDEN, an individual,

Defendants.

Case No.

NOTICE OF RELATED CASE

[LOCAL RULE 83-1.3.1]

PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that the above-captioned action is related to the
following case previously filed in the Central District of California: Innohone, et al.
v. Infoweapons, et al., Case No. 06-06344 ABC (MANx), subsequently assigned to
Magistrate Judge Margaret A. Nagle for purposes of retention of jurisdiction over

2007 MAR 19 AM 10:37
U.S. DISTRICT COURT
CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIF.
LOS ANGELES

FILED


FMOx

4

1 the settlement reached between the parties on January 10, 2007. The above-
2 captioned action qualifies for a related case transfer under L.R. 83-1.3.1(c) for:
3 "other reasons [that] would entail substantial duplication of labor if heard by
4 different judges." The complaint in the previously filed case, Innofone, et al. v.
5 Infoweapons, et al., Case No. 06-06344 ABC (and now MAN), was filed on
6 October 4, 2006 (and amended on November 16, 2006) in the Central District of
7 California, and recently settled on January 10, 2007. The previously filed case
8 involved the same complex industry, IPv6, and required an understanding of
9 plaintiff Innofone.com, Inc.'s underlying business. Moreover, the transaction at the
10 heart of the instant case—the Cogent Equity Swap— was one of the transactions
11 complained about by the Defendants in the Innofone, et al. v. Infoweapons, et al.,
12 litigation. This case thus qualifies for a related case transfer because of similar
13 facts necessary to understand the underlying claims. Transfer will promote the
14 efficient administration of justice.

15
16 Dated: March 19, 2007

JONES DAY

17
18 By: 
19 Roderick A. McLeod

20 Attorneys for Plaintiff
21 INNOFONE.COM, INCORPORATED
22
23
24
25
26
27
28